

# Mid-Region Council of Governments RURAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION (RTPO) TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC) WORKSHOP Thursday, February 21, 2008

1:30 – 3:00 p.m.

#### Mid-Region Council of Governments 809 Copper Ave. NW Albuquerque, NM 87102

Karen Mahalick, Chair

Peter Pino, Vice Chair

#### **AGENDA**

Call to Order:

The presence of a quorum will be noted.

Introductions

**Approval of Agenda** 

#### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

**Public Comments** 

ANYONE WHO WISHES TO ADDRESS THE RTPO-TAC MUST REGISTER WITH THE CHAIR OF THE COMMITTEE.

#### **WORKSHOP**

Tab 1 Project Selection Criteria for Developing Rural Transportation Improvement Program Recommendations

**Adjournment** 

#### **NOTES**

Next Meeting: Thursday, April 17, 2008

Primary Topics: Scenic Byways Grant Applications
Results of State Legislative Session

Anyone requiring special accommodations is requested to please notify the MRCOG office at 247-1750 at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting.

# Project Selection Criteria for Developing Rural Transportation Improvement Program Recommendations

<u>MPO Recommendation</u>: Identify a draft set of Project Selection Criteria for developing the next set of Rural Transportation Improvement Program Recommendations and an related Project Identification Form

<u>Background:</u> It has been suggested that the RTPO TAC develop a set of project selection criteria to facilitate the development of a prioritized set of recommended projects for the annual Rural Transportation Improvement Program. The RTPO TAC discussed some concepts for the criteria at its December 2007 meeting. In response to that discussion, MRCOG staff has developed a draft set of criteria and a draft Project Identification Form which we may want to use to gather the information needed to apply the criteria, as it has been developed so far.

The purpose of this workshop is to discuss these draft materials and tp develop revisions and refinements to them. Please come prepared to discuss the attached drafts and to propose amendments.

## \*\*DRAFT\*\*

## Rural Transportation Improvement Program Recommendations SCREENING AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

MID-REGION COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

PROJECT TITLE & LOCATION:	
Lead Agency:	
Contact Name:	
Phone Number:	E-Mail:

## Step 1. Screening Criteria

Ite m	Criteria	Evaluation	Guidance & Standards
1	Is the project consistent with the current RTPO Long Range Transportatio Plan?	Yes, No or N/A	2007 RTPO LRTP
2	Is the project eligible for the requested federal aid program?	Yes, No or N/A	FHWA "A Guide to Federal-Aid Programs & Projects" (May 99) and FTA Circulars
3	Is the project eligible for the requested State aid program?	Yes, No or N/A	Local Government Handbook
4	Does the project include a reasonable cost estimate and funding plan?	Yes, No or N/A	Project Form
5	Can the project meet NEPA, design, ROW and/or construction letting milestones within the STIP time frame?	Yes, No or N/A	Project Form From Agency Plans/Programs
6	Federal Requirements:	Yes, No or	Project Form
	Will the completed project comply with ADA requirements?	N/A	
	Will the project include public involvement?	Yes, No or N/A	Project Form
	Will the project comply with Title VI requirements?	Yes, No or N/A	Project Form

# Step 2. Quantitative Criteria

Project Type: (Check one)	□ Bicycle/Pedestrian	□ Roadway – Expansion
	□ Enhancements	□ Roadway – Preservation
	□ Transit	☐ Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)
	□ Plans/Studies	☐ Transportation System Management (TSM)
		□ Travel Demand Management (TDM)

# PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA

Goal	Proposed Criteria	Low	Medium	High
A.	Part of existing network			
B.	Addresses capacity needs			
C.	Addresses safety and security issues			
D.	Preserves physical and cultural environments			
E.	Provides for public transportation, multimodal and/or intermodal needs			
F.	Reduces traval distances and/or promotes energy conservation			

## \*\*DRAFT\*\*

## Rural Transportation Improvement Program Recommendations SCREENING AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

MID-REGION COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS RTPO

		And Termini):			
Lea	d Agency:				
Con	tact Name:				
		E-Mail:_			
		stification:			
Proj	ject's Priority for Lead	Agency:			<del></del>
Proi	ect Type: (Check one)	☐ Bicycle/Pedestrian	□ Roadway – Ex	nansion	
- 3	<b>J.</b> ( )	☐ Enhancements	□ Roadway – Pr	•	
		☐ Transit		nsportation Systems (ITS	S)
		□ Plans/Studies	☐ Transportation	n System Management (7	rsm)
			☐ Travel Deman	d Management (TDM)	
Step	o 1. Project Screening				
1. Is	the project consistent v	with the current RTPO Lo	ong Range Transpo	ortation Plan? (circle	one)
Y	es No N/A Expl	ain:			
2 &	3. Funds for which the	project is eligible			
	☐ Federal (pleas	se identify)			
		identify):			
	u	37 <u></u>			
4. C	ost estimate and fundin	g plan. Cost estimate: \$			
	Funding Plan:				
	Phase/Year	Funding Source	e Amount	Match Source	Match Amt.
•					

roject Readiness				
CODE	Factor	Code	Expected Completion Date, if Coded #1 or #2.	Comments
1 = Not Yet Addressed	Right-of-Way		#1 01 #2.	
2 = In Process	Environmental Clearances			
3 = Complete	Archaeological Clearances			
N/A = Not	Public Involvement			
N/A = Not Applicable Design				
Applicable				
	Matching Funds Availability ed project comply with ADA rec	quireme	ents? (circle one)	Yes No N/A
. Will the complete lain:  3. Will the project in t				
. Will the complete lain:  B. Will the project is lain:  C. Will the project of the complete lain:	ed project comply with ADA rec	rcle one)	Yes No	N/A

Explain:

C. Does the project address saf	fety and security issues? (circle one) Yes	No N/A		
Explain:				
N/A	ne physical and cultural environments?	(circle one)	Yes No	
Yes No N/A	· public transportation, multimodal and/or		,	
Yes No N/A	val distances and/or promote energy conserv	·	,	
Below are the Federally mandated pexplanation regarding how the projection	RESPONSE TO PLANNING FACTORS planning factors* for all transportation projects. Cect addresses the factor.	heck all that apply	and provide a brief	
☐ Economic Vitality				
□ Safety				
□ Security				
☐ Accessibility & Mobility				
☐ Environment, Energy Conservation, Quality of Life, State/Local Consistency				
☐ Integration & Connectivity Across Modes				

☐ Efficient System Management & Operation	
☐ Preservation of Existing System	

#### \*Planning Factors Mandated by SAFETEA-LU

With the passage of TEA-21 in 1998, the 15 metropolitan and 23 statewide planning factors from the old regulations were consolidated into seven factors. With the recent passage of SAFETEA-LU, the factors were expanded to eight, namely safety and security were separated into separate planning factors. In addition, the planning factor on protect/enhance the environment was expanded to include "..promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns". With the passage of SAFETEA-LU, the eight planning factors (for both metro and statewide planning) are:

- 1. Support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency;
- 2. Increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and nonmotorized users;
- 3. Increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and nonmotorized users;
- 4. Increase the accessibility and mobility of people and for freight;
- 5. Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns;
- 6. Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight;
- 7. Promote efficient system management and operation, and;
- 8. Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system.